

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,812	12/07/2005	Francis C. Peterson	79837	5677
Robert B. Jones	7590 09/11/2007		EXAM	INER
Suite 1600			THOMAS, ALEXANDER S	
120 South LaSalle Street Chicago, IL 60603			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
g-,			1772	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/538,812	PETERSON, FRANCIS C.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alexander Thomas	1772			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time 1/11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication.			
Status	•	,				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>2/8/03</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-7 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) 2.6 and 7 is/are with a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1.4 and 5 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examined The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examined Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath of the oa	relection requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforn	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 12/7/05 & 3/6/07.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/538,812

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1, 4 and 5, drawn to a product, classified in class 428, subclass 99.
- II. Claims 2, 6 and 7, drawn to a process, classified in class 173, subclass 1.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product can be used in a different process such as it may be hung from a piece of molding as shown in US patent 1,647,008.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Jones on August 8, 2006 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claim 1.

 Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

 Claims 2, 6 and 7 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37

 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 7, the third word is mispelled. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. Claims 1, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "and the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "and the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 7. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no original disclosure directed to a "rigid" hanger. Applicant argues that since the hanger was originally disclosed as being made from a metal such as steel that it will inherently be rigid. However, this is not convincing since steel strips may be made flexible by adjusting their thickness.

Art Unit: 1772

describe or define this term so as to allow one of ordinary skill in the art to know the structural meets and bounds of said term.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ernst 6,830,228. The reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed, namely a rigid strip bent in a Vee to provide a first leg and a second leg, said second leg terminating in a hook and the first leg being arcuate with a center of curvature substantially at the center of curvature of said hook; see Figures 3 and 10, column 3, lines 47-55 and column 4, lines 22-23 and 45-46.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1772

Ernst. The reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed, namely a strip bent in a Vee to provide a first leg and a second leg, said second leg terminating in a hook and the first leg being arcuate with a center of curvature substantially at the center of curvature of said hook; see Figures 3 and 10, column 3, lines 47-55 and column 4, lines 45-46. However, the reference does not disclose forming the fixture from metal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select a known material, such as metal, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. Furthermore, picture hangers are traditional made from metal, such as metal nails, and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a known material, such as metal, to form the picture hanger of the reference.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,812

Art Unit: 1772

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alexander Thomas/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772